

# Understanding Group Event Scheduling via the OutWithFriendz Mobile Application



Jason Shuo Zhang, Khaled Alanezi, Mike Gartrell, Richard Han, Shivakant Mishra, and Qin Lv Department of Computer Science, University of Colorado Boulder

1. OutWithFriendz Mobile Application		2. The Architecture and Data Collection	3. Impact of User Mobility	
••••• T-Mobile Wi-Fi 중 23:48	●●○○○ T-Mobile Wi-Fi 중 23:24	Architecture	Table: The correlation of user mobility and voting availability	
Invitation Title:	sandwich	Google Cloud	Corr P-value	
Friday dinner	Iris Ave	Messaging Server		
Time Voting:	Poly and the second sec	Google Map API OutWithFriendz Data Collection Server	User mobility and date availability 0.276 7.12e-05	
Fri, 05-20-2016 18:00 0	T T Balsam Ave Balsam Ave		User mobility and location availability 0.281 2.92e-06	
FII, 05-15-2010 10.50 Junal. 2	Alpine Ave			
Fri, 05-13-2016 17:30 1	SNARFBURGER 2000 Arapahoe Avenue, Boulder	<ul> <li>1. Data transmission</li> <li>2. Push notifications</li> </ul>	Observation 1: Users with higher mobility are more active in attending social events	



## 4. Impact of Individual Preference





#### **Database Collections**





### 6. Impact of Voting Process

#### Table: The correlation of group mobility and urban density

	Corr	P-value
Group mobility and population density	0.183	0.013
Group mobility and housing unites	0.157	0.018

**Observation 2**: Group mobility has a positive correlation with an area's urban density.

#### 5. Impact of Host Preference

#### Table: The probability of final event option voted by host

Probaility

Travel Distances -- Miles

Figure: The CDF of travel distances among voted locations and non-voted locations for each participant.

Observation 3: Most users would like to vote for event venues near their frequented locations.



# Figure: The distribution of events by hours on weekday (left) and weekend (right)

Observation 4: People like to attend social events after work on weekdays, while on weekends, events



Figure left: The relationship between average voting availability and voter position.

Figure right: The relationship between average voting coincidence and voter position.

Observation 7: Early voters tend to vote for a wide variety of options, while later coming voters are more likely to report limited availability.

Observation 8: Late voters tend to vote for options align with existing voting results and are mutually agreeable

Final event date voted by participant	0.36
Final event location voted by host	0.72
Final event location voted by participant	0.34

Observation 5: The final meeting location is closer to a host's frequented place than other participants.

Table: The correlation between whether host comply voting results and the event attendance rate

	Corr	P-value
Host comply location voting result and event attendance rate	0.48	<10e-10
Host comply date voting result And event attendance rate	0.47	<10e-10

Observation 6: The host choose not to use the

# are distributed relatively evenly.

consensus result as the final decision would have

#### negative influence on the event attendance rate

